

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Bex 1456
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. HEING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/882,599 06/15/2001 Jayson J. Nelson 82158/MGB 8292

7590

06/24/2003

Thomas H. Close Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER VINCENT, SEAN E

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 1731

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/882,599	NELSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sean E Vincent	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thing riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become As	ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uno Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) 3,4,11-15 and 17 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5,9,10,16 and 19</u> is/are objected to	O.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2001</u> is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the properties of the properties.</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) The translation of the foreign language part 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	en received.
ttachment(s)		<del>-</del>
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5\   Notice of In-	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 11. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota et al (US 5873921) in view of Chamberlin (US 1148215).

5. Hirota et al taught methods of making optical glass elements wherein a spherical glass preform was heated to lower its viscosity while being floated on an inert gas and then dropping the preform into a mold (see figures and col. 5, lines 11-48 and col. 6, lines 23-52). Hirota et al did not teach dropping the preform onto a platen and rolling and compressing the preform into a cylinder. Chamberlin taught methods of forming softened glass by rotating a preform between to opposing marvering blocks spaced apart by a predetermined distance and moving the blocks toward and away from each other (see figure 2b, page 2, lines 69-76 and page 3, lines 18-42). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the marvering means of Chamberlin to shape the preform of Hirota et al because Chamberlin taught that it would have produced a cylindrical shape of definite diameter.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3, 4 and 11-17 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 5, 9, 10 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest does not teach methods or apparatus for making glass preforms as claimed wherein upper and lower platens are moved or made to move laterally relative to each other and it would not have been obvious to make such a modification to Chamberlin. Chamberlin does not teach that the glass is lowered to the glass transition temperature before removing the glass from the marvering blocks and it would not have been

Application/Control Number: 09/882,599

Art Unit: 1731

obvious to modify Chamberlin as such since the glass is supposed to remain soft for subsequent forming steps.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to further show the state of the art.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is 703-305-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00) Second Monday Off.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3\$\frac{1}{8}\$-0651.

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

S Vincent June 21, 2003